

# Gaussian Mixture Variational Autoencoder for Noise Reduction and Batch Correction of DNA Methylation Data

### Abstract

- Cytosine methylation (mC) is a crucial Cytosine methylation (mc) is a crucial epigenetic modification regulating gene expression and cellular development. However, mC suffers from high dimensionality when studying multiple CpG sites astride the genome.
   Using the gene body counts from the adult prefrontal cortex we aim to reduce the in-group (cell type) yariation by using neural networks.
- (cell-type) variation by using neural networks.
  Our data: single-nucleus methyl-3C sequencing (snm3C-seq) to capture chromatin organization and
- DNA methylation informationWe use three neural network models that are the We use three neural network models that are the Autoencoder (AE), variational Autoencoder (VAE), and a Gaussian Mixed VAE to interpret the adult prefrontal cortex in terms of in-group variance, reconstructive capabilities, and clustering.
   Our high-variance genes are determined by ranking gene groups and identifying n-chosen differentially expressed marker genes from each cell type group
- cell-type group.



### Autoencoder



Autoencoder Architecture



Latent Space of Autoencoder: Captures some general structure but needs more model complexity.









L3 Cell-Type Annotations

Predicted UMAP vs. Original UMAP



EXC-L4-RORB Cell

Type Variation





Astro Cell-Type Variation

Inh-MGE-MAN1A1 Cell-Type Variation

HUNTER CARROLL<sup>1,2</sup>, Matthew Heffel<sup>3,4</sup>, Cuining Liu<sup>3</sup>, Chongyuan Luo<sup>3</sup>

1 BIG Summer Program, Institute for Quantitative and Computational Biosciences, UCLA

2 University of Colorado, Denver 3 Department of Human Genetics David Geffen School of Medicine, UCLA 4 Bioinformatics Interdepartmental Program, UCLA



## Variational Autoencoder (VAE)



Variational Autoencoder Architecture



Latent Space of VAE: Capturing more detailed global features but we can improve this with GMVAF.







VAE: N=457 Highly Variable Genes Predicted Leiden Clusters

L3 Cell-Type Annotations

Predicted UMAP vs. Original UMAP





Genes

VAE: In-Group Variance for Astro Cell-Type





# Our models demonstrate the reduction of noise within individual cell types and the accuracy of reconstruction by

- norvioual cell types and the accuracy of reconstruction by measuring the unsupervised Leiden cluster assignments between the input and reconstructed data.
  We focus on the adult prefrontal cortex using 456 highly variable genes determined via differential expression in ranked gene groups. Our models demonstrate modest performance in terms of both our data reconstruction and clustering capabilities. capabilities.
- A noteworthy observation is that our Autoencoder performs best at noise reduction but our Gaussian Mixed Variational Autoencoder performs best in terms of clustering accuracy demonstrating an important trade-off to be considered for further analysis.
- 4. Our goal is to develop a model that can successfully balance these two objectives by creating a branched Gaussian Mixed VAE that employs the high variance genes to drive the reconstruction and clustering accuracy of the low variance genes by assigning Gaussian membership probabilities in our latent spaces as shown in the figure to the right.

Original UMAP Space of Highly Variable Genes

### Gaussian Mixed VAE (GMVAE)





### Gaussian Mixed Variational Autoencoder Architecture

Latent Space of GMVAE: Our goal in order to eventually use to drive the low variance features





GMVAE: N=457 Highly Variable Genes Predicted Leiden Clusters

### L3 Cell-Type Annotations

Predicted UMAP vs. **Original UMAP** 











### Conclusions



Concatenated high variance block and low variance block in the latent space conditioned on q - membership probabilities

### Notes for Improvement

1. Priority Number One: UMAP is not always a meaningful method for determining biologically relevant information for it tends to distort the original manifold.UMAP suffers from noise and outliers, parameter sensitivity, and most importantly the balance tradeoff between local and global features. As shown in the analysis our models perform modestly on the highvariance features but when it comes to the low-variance features our methods suffer from extremely high dimensionality and sparse data density. Our goal is to be able to take advantage of neural networks as a means to preserve global features displayed by high-variance features and use them to condition the low-variance features to limit the distortion exhibited by other dimensionality reduction techniques. 2. Priority Number

*Two:* Validating batch correction via marker gene expression and imputation accuracy.

3. Priority Number *Three:* Determining highly variable features and lowly variable features plays a substantial role in the performance of our models. For further analysis, we would work towards using different thresholds and methods for determining our high variance features.

### References

"Introduction to Epigenetics - Learn.Omicslogic.Com." YouTube, 5 Dec. 2018, www.youtube.com/watch? v=IAu44Bk0aSs&ab\_channel=OmicsLogic.

Weng, Lilian. "From Autoencoder to Beta-Vae." Lil'Log (Alt + H), 12 Aug. 2018, lilianweng.github.io/posts/2018-08-12-vae/. Semi-Supervised Gaussian Mixture Variational Autoencoder for Pulse ..., www.researchgate.net/publication/360773339 Semi-

Supervised Gaussian Mixture Variational Autoencoder for Pulse Shape Accessed 7 Aug. 2023.

Github: https://github.com/HUNTERJCARROLL